IFW



03500.103457

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re A	application of:)	
	,	:	Examiner: Unassigned
SHUI	CHIRO SAITO)	
		:	Group Art Unit: Unassigned
Applic	eation No.: 10/579,571)	
		:	
Filed:	May 17, 2006)	
		:	
For:	GAS REPLACEMENT METHOD OF)	August 24, 2006
	FUEL CELL, FUEL CELL SYSTEM	:	
	AND DEVICE FOR FUEL CELL)	
	SYSTEM	:	

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SUBMISSION OF INFORMATION

Sir:

Enclosed for the Examiner's information is a Notification Concerning

Transmittal of Copy of International Preliminary Report on Patentability that was received in

connection with Applicant's corresponding PCT application.

The documents identified in the Written Opinion were cited in the Information Disclosure Statement filed May 17, 2006.

It is submitted that no fee is necessary in connection with this paper.

Nonetheless, any fee deemed necessary should be charged to Deposit Account No. 06-1205.

Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C. office by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below-listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

Scott D. Malpede

Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 32,533

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO 30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3801
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

SDM\rnm

DC_MAIN 251053v1

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY ...

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

OKABE, Masao No. 602, Fuji Bldg., 2-3, Marunouchi 3-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 1000005 JAPON

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 15 June 2006 (15.06.2006)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 10003457WO01

IMPORTANT NOTICE

International application No. PCT/JP2004/018337

International filing date (day/month/year) 02 December 2004 (02.12.2004) Priority date (day/month/year) 02 December 2003 (02.12.2003)

Applicant

CANON KABUSHIKI KAISHA et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

> The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Yoshiko Kuwahara

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 90 90 ·

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 10003457WO01	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below						
International application No. PCT/JP2004/018337	International filing date (day/month/year) 02 December 2004 (02.12.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 02 December 2003 (02.12.2003)						
	International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237							
Applicant CANON KABUSHIKI KAISHA								

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).							
2.	This REPORT consists of a total In the attached sheets, any refere to the international preliminary r	nce to the written opinion of t	the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference					
3.	This report contains indications	relating to the following items	::					
	Box No. I	Basis of the report						
	Box No. II	Priority	·					
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opin applicability	ion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial					
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention						
	Box No. V		Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial explanations supporting such statement					
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited						
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the inter-	national application					
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the	international application					
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).							
: '								
	•		Date of issuance of this report 07 June 2006 (07.06.2006)					
	The International Bure		Authorized officer					
	34, chemin des Colo 1211 Geneva 20, Sw		Yoshiko Kuwahara					
Facsin	nile No. +41 22 740 14 35		Telephone No. +41 22 338 90 90					

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

ע טפה	Ji	man	2003

PCT

F	rom	the	9					
				 	_	_	_	_

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

OKABE Masao

No.602, Fuji Bldg.,2-3, Marunouchi 3-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo (00-0005

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

FOR FURTHER ACTION

29.03.2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference

PCT/JP2004/18337

10003457WO01

International application No.

International filing date (day/month/year)

02.12.2004

Priority date (day/month/year)

See paragraph 2 below

. 02.12.2003

WIPO

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

H01M8/04 Int.Cl 7

Applicant

CANON KABUSHIKI KAISHA

other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the international Buteau under Kille 60.7505(5) and opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.	1.	. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:									
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application PURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of international Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Author other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that writt opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPI a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Fo PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.		\checkmark	Box No. I	Basis of the opinion							
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of international Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Author other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that writt opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPI a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of FOPCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.											
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicabilit citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of t International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Author other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that writt opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPI a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of For PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.			Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of international Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that writt opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPI a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Fo PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.		V									
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Author other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that writt opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPI a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of FOPCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.		V	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of a International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Author other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that writt opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPI a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of FOPCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.			Box No. VI	Certain documents cited							
 FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of a International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Author other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that writt opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPI a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of FOPCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 			Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application							
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of a International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that writt opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPI a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of For PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.			Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application							
International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant encounter other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that writt opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPI a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Fo PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.	2.	FUR)	THER ACTION	the transition of the							
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPI a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Fo PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.		International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant encodes all relations of the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written									
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.		If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written early together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form									
		For f	further options, s	ee Form PCT/ISA/220.							
	3.	For t	further details, se								

Date of completion of this opinion 09.03	.2005		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP	Authorized officer	4X	9275
Japan Patent Office	TAKAGI YASUHARU	•	
3-4-3, Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8915, Japan	Telephone No. +81-3-3581-1101 Ext. 3	477	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/18337

Box	No. I	Basis of the op	inion								
1.	which it w This	d to the language as filed, unless of opinion has been s 12.3 and 23.1(b)	nerwise indicate established on which is the la	ed under this	s item. La translatio	n from t	ne origina	ıl language in	to the follo	wing langu	age
2.	With regar	rd to any nucleotivention, this opinion	de and/or ami on has been esta	no acid sec ablished on	uence discl the basis of:	losed in	the intern	ational appli	cation and 1	necessary to	o the
	a. type of	material									ł
		a sequence listin table(s) related t	-	; listing							
	b. format	of material in written format in computer read									
. 3.		filing/furnishing contained in the filed together wi furnished subseq	th the internation	onal applica	tion in com	of a sec	rch. nence list	ing and/or ta	ble relating	thereto has	been
Э,		d or furnished, the he application as	required states	ments that t	he intormati	on in the	Subscuu	ant or anguino	nai copios i	y Idonicion .	to that
4.	Addition	al comments:								•	
								•			
	,							:		٠	
											•
			٠							. ·	÷
	•										-

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/18337

Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
1. In res	ponse to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has:
7	paid additional fees
$\overline{\Box}$	paid additional fees under protest
	not paid additional fees
لحجا	
	Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to dditional fees.
3. This Auth	ority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
con	nplied with
✓ not	complied with for the following reasons:
satis that group conce Claid being star docu Ltd. 2003 2003 tech of C the the requ inde	In order for a group of inventions described in Claims to say the requirement of unity of invention, it is necessary a special technical feature be present that so links the of inventions as to form a single general inventive ept, whereas it is deemed that the inventions described in a 1 through 67 are linked only in the technical matter of grapplying a fuel from the fuel cartridge or fuel tank to replacement of gas in the fuel cell system. Sowever, the technical matter is disclosed in prior art ments, for example, JP 2002-313390 A (Nissan Motor Co., 1, 2002.10.25, JP 2003-168456 A (Nissan Motor Co., Ltd.) Solid and JP 2003-142131 A (Toyota Motor Co., Ltd.) Solid feature. Sherefore there is no technical matter cannot be special mical feature. Sherefore there is no technical feature among those inventions laims 1 through 67 involving any technical features linking inventions to form a single general inventive concept so that inventions of Claims 1 through 67 do not comply with the irement of unity of invention. The considering the specific modes of the inventions of the pendent claims, there are two groups of inventions: the nations of Claims 1-4,13-34,47-67; and the inventions of ms 5-12,35-46.
	quently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
1	
سعا	all parts.
	the parts relating to claims Nos.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/ 18337

Reasoned statement under Rule 43his.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; Box No. V citations and explanations supporting such statement Statement Claims Novelty (N) Claims Claims Inventive step (IS) Claims Claims Industrial applicability (IA) Claims Citations and explanations Citations D1.JP 2002-313390 A (Nissan Motor Co., Ltd.), 2002.10.25, claims1-6, examples D2.JP 2003-168456 A (Nissan Motor Co., Ltd.) 2003.06.13, claims1-7,examples (no family) D3.JP 2003-142131 A (Toyota Motor Co., Ltd.) 2003.05.16, claims1-23,examples (no family) D4.JP 2002-158022 A (Sony Co., Ltd.) 2002.05.31, claims1-15,examples(no family) D5.JP 2003-223908 A (Nissan Motor Co., Ltd.) 2003.08.08, claims1-13,examples D6.JP 11-219715 A (TOYOTA CENTRAL R&D LABS.,INC.) 1999.08.10, claims1-2, examples(no family) D7.JP 2002-141090 A (Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.) 2002.05.17, claims1-4,

Explanations

examples(no family)

The subject matter of claim 1,5,9,13,21,29,35,41,47,54,61 is novel and involves an inventive step over any of the prior art documents D1-D7 cited the international search report.

In particular,"a method of replacing gas in a fuel cell system, comprising the steps of: detecting that a fuel cartridge is connected to a fuel cell system; and supplying a fuel from the fuel cartridge on the basis of the detection to start replacement of gas in the fuel cell system" or "a method of replacing gas in a fuel cell system, comprising the steps of: detecting an output voltage of a fuel cell provided in a fuel cell system; and when the output voltage becomes a predetermined value or less, supplying a fuel from the fuel cartridge to start replacement of gas in the fuel cell system" is not disclosed in D1-D7.

It follows that depending claims 2-4,6-8,10-12,14-20,22-28,30-34,36-40,42-46,48-53,55-60,62-67 are allowable as well.